Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/606,442	BARAN, GEORGE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ginger T. Chapman	3761
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Ginger T. Chapman</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Kent Genin.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 September 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: n/e Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During an examiner-initiated telephone interview, examiner indicated that, although Figure 36 is detailed on pp. 46-47 of the Specification, reference to Fig. 36 appears to have been inadvertently omitted from p. 7 under Brief Description of the Drawings.

As claims 64-77 and 79-80 stand allowed per Office action mail date 03/11/2008, in order to facilitate timely prosecution, Applicants' representative authorized the examiner to amend the specification as reflected in the current action.